



SCOPE 2021

A New Scorecard for **Osteoporosis In Europe**





?

Why should you be concerned about osteoporosis?



Why should we be concerned about osteoporosis?

Common cause of disability, loss of independence and early death

Disability burden expressed in DALYs* greater than many other common diseases1



DALYs by disease in 6 European countries in 17 selected non-communicable diseases (IOF 2018)

*DALYs: Disability Adjusted Life Years

Hip fractures are life-threatening and result in loss of function & independence

In the first year after hip fracture:

- 40% unable to walk independently1
- 80% restricted in other activities1
 (driving, shopping..)
- Mortality up to 20% in Europe2



1. Broken bones, broken lives: the fragility fracture crisis in six European countries. IOF 2018

2. Keene GS, Parker MJ, Pryor GA (1993) Mortality and morbidity after hip fractures. Bmj 307:1248-1250



Increasing fragility fracture incidence with the ageing of Europe's population

Number of adults aged +75 years expected to increase between 2019-2034



<section-header><section-header><text><text><text>

Kanis et al., Archives Osteoporos 2021



Europe is facing a fragility fracture crisis

SCOPE 2021 reveals



of osteoporosis and fragility fractures

🕨 Gaps and inequalities 👅

in service provision and uptake

allows comparisons between countries, as well as benchmarking against the first SCOPE report, which reflected data from 2010

The International Osteoporosis Foundation and its member societies call for strategies both at the European and national levels **to provide coordinated osteoporosis care** effectively and to reduce debilitating fractures and their impact on individual lives and the healthcare system



A new ScoreCard for OsteoPorosis in Europe (SCOPE)

Summarising key indicators of the burden of osteoporosis and its management in the **27 members** states of the European Union (EU27) + Switzerland and the UK (termed as the EU27+2)

4 Domains Covered















Burden of Disease

The burden of osteoporosis, **fractures and forecasts for the future**



Europe is facing a fragility fracture crisis

Costs in the EU27+2 in 2019



Direct cost of incident fractures: €36.3 billion



Ongoing cost resulting from fractures that occurred before 2019 (Long-term disability costs): €19.0 billion



Cost of pharmacological intervention (assessment & treatment): **€1.6 billion**



Total direct cost: €56.9 billion (excluding value of QALYs* lost)

*QALYs: Quality-Adjusted Life-Year – a multidimensional outcome measure that incorporates both the Quality (health-related) and Quantity (length) of life

Kanis et al., Archives Osteoporos 2021





Increasing direct costs of osteoporotic fractures for each individual



Average cost change between 2010 and 2019 in the EU27+2

€85.77/person (in 2010)

€109.12/person (in 2019)





Increase in total fracture cost (%) between 2010-2019







Individuals with Osteoporosis in the EU27+2



1. Hernlund E, Svedbom A, Ivergard M, Compston J, Cooper C, Stenmark J, McCloskey EV, Jonsson B, Kanis JA (2013) Osteoporosis in the European Union: medical management, epidemiology and economic burden. A report prepared in collaboration with the International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF) and the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industry Associations (EFPIA). Arch Osteoporos 8:136





New fragility fractures in the EU27+2

Twice as many fractures occurred in women compared to men

Hip fractures

- ➢ 600,000 women
- 220,000 men
- > **19%** of all fractures

Forearm

- > 530,000 women
- ▶ 110,000 men
- 15% of all fractures

Vertebral

- > 430,000 women
- > 230,000 men
- 16% of all fractures

Other fractures

- ▶ 1,300,000 women
- > 860,000 men
- 50% of all fractures



4.3 million new fragility fractures in 2019

Kanis et al., Archives Osteoporos 2021





Osteoporotic fractures are associated with premature mortality

Fragility fracture is the 3rd most common cause of death

Comparison between number of deaths and relevant causes in 2019 (Sweden)



Kanis et al., Archives Osteoporos 2021

EU27+2

248,487 fractures related to deaths in 2019





Lifetime probability of hip fracture in women varies markedly by country



Remaining lifetime probability of hip fracture (%) in women in the EU27+2 from the age of 50 years

Kanis et al., Archives Osteoporos 2021





FRAX® Risk : 10-year probability of a major osteoporotic fracture



Proportion of men and women (%) aged 50-89 years with a 10-year probability of a major fracture

Kanis et al., Archives Osteoporos 2021

- 23.8 million Europeans are at high risk of major fracture
- 14.8 million are at very high risk of major fracture







Population projections: increased markedly in the aged population by 2034

Estimated increase in population aged ≥75 years between 2019-2034



The increase in men aged ≥75 years is more significant than that in women in all EU27+2 countries





Increase in the annual number of fragility fractures by 2034



Fractures 2019 - 2034 (% increase)

Kanis et al., Archives Osteoporos 2021

In 2034, 5.34 million individuals will be affected by osteoporotic fractures in the EU 27+2 → increase of 1.06 million (+24.8%) from 2019





Policy Framework

Compares availability of information, prioritization in national healthcare, management & specialist training, and patient organisations in the EU27+2



Quality of information on the epidemiology of hip fractures in the EU27+2



- The capture of information on hip fracture has improved since 2010
- > 14 countries have now established national fracture registries





Is osteoporosis a National Health Priority (NHP)?

No NHP recognition by governments and health care providers for osteoporosis prevention and treatment = **Serious impact on society in all countries**



Kanis et al., Archives Osteoporos 2021





Who manages osteoporosis?

Primary care physicians are principal providers of osteoporosis care in 16 of the 28 countries





Is osteoporosis a component of specialty training?

Osteoporosis or bone diseases are recognized specialities in only 4 countries



Kanis et al., Archives Osteoporos 2021



A wide variety of specialties for osteoporosis



The specialty representation in the EU27+2 countries (%)

Kanis et al., Archives Osteoporos 2021

That may reflect inconsistencies in patient care and training of primary care physicians





Patient organisations play an important role in 4 areas of advocacy

Policy – Capacity building & Education – Peer support – Research & Development



In 10 countries: all four of the advocacy areas are covered by at least one patient organisation











Service Provision

Assessment and Treatment of Osteoporosis



Reimbursement of approved drug treatments in the EU27+2

- A wide variety of approved drug treatments is available
- Less than half of the European countries offer full reimbursement (2019)
- Reimbursement ranges may vary dependent on drugs, medical indication or specialist prescription
- In several countries, reimbursement is conditional on clinical criteria e.g. based on BMD test results, age







Restriction in reimbursement for osteoporosis treatment

Registered treatments that are not reimbursed in the EU27+2

Treatment	Countries where reimbursement is not offered for osteoporosis*
Risedronate	Malta
Alendronate	Malta, Slovakia
Ibandronate	Cyprus, Malta
Zoledronate	Bulgaria, Ireland, Malta, Poland
Raloxifene	Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland
Denosumab	Cyprus, Ireland, Malta
Strontium Ranelate	Only markets with reimbursement: Cyprus, Italy, Lithuania, the Netherlands, Romania, Spain, UK [removed from several markets]
Teriparatide and PTH	Estonia, Ireland, Latvia, Malta, Poland, Romania
Alfacalcidol/Calcitriol/Calcidiol	Finland, Ireland, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Romania
*Data for Luxembourg not reported	

Kanis et al., Archives Osteoporos 2021





DXA available units per million of population

DXA assessment is a cornerstone for proper management of osteoporosis - diagnosis, risk prediction, patient allocation for treatment and monitoring



- 60% of countries had the minimum recommended number of DXA machines for their population, estimated at <u>11 DXA units/million</u>
- Only minor (5%) increase in DXA equipment between 2010 2019*

*when placed against the rise in the number of fragility fractures over the same interval (+17% for the EU27+2 (not including Croatia/Switzerland))





Patient's access to DXA – close relation to waiting time and reimbursement policy

Wide range of waiting time for DXA depending on countries (0 to 180 Days)



No clear relation between waiting time and DXA availability





Majority of the EU27+2 countries provide full reimbursement for DXA



Reimbursement for DXA varies between countries in terms of the required criteria and level of reimbursement awarded





Availability of country-specific FRAX® risk models and guidance

Essential elements for the effective targeting of treatment to patients at highest risk

Fracture risk assessment (score)



- > Risk assessment models (usually FRAX) were available in 24 of 29 countries
- Only 16 countries include guidance on the use of risk assessment within national guidelines





Guideline quality for assessment and treatment



23 had guidelines for secondary osteoporosis

Including glucocorticoidinduced osteoporosis

25 countries had guidelines for osteoporosis in men

Osteoporosis management guidelines available in **27 of 29 countries** in the EU27+2*





*no data available in Cyprus and Malta

1/2 of the member states (14 of 26 states) reported having high-quality guidelines





Proportion of hospitals having Fracture Liaison Services (FLS) in EU27+2

Also known as **coordinator-based** secondary fracture prevention services



Availability of fracture liaison services (FLS) in hospitals by country

> In 8 countries : no FLS

In 13 countries : FLS in place in only 1-25% of hospitals







FLS improves treatment uptake

Treatment uptake with FLS increased by **76%** in women within the first year after their fracture (Sweden)

■ Before ■ With FLS Treatment rate (%) 37.4 40 31.8 35 27.4 Uptake in men is 30 24.3 more than doubled 21.2 20.3 25 18.2 20 12.9 13.2 12.8 12.4 15 8.9 7.1 10 4.9 5 0 50-59 70-79 60-69 80-89 90-99 MEN WOMEN Age (years)

Treatment uptake in the year following a major osteoporotic fracture before and after the institution of FLSs by age and sex

Kanis et al., Archives Osteoporos 2021



Use of quality indicators and regular audit for national healthcare agencies

National quality indicators allow to measure the quality of care provided to patients



Score allocation for quality indicators by country

- > In 10 countries: annual reporting system on quality indicators in place
- In 18 countries: no use of quality indicators









Service Uptake

Risk assessment, Treatment gap, Waiting time for hip surgery



Uptake of FRAX[®] calculations

FRAX[®] is now a component of many national guidelines for the assessment of osteoporosis



- > Average uptake for the EU27+2: **1,555 sessions/million people** in the general population
- Enormous range: from 49 to 41,874 sessions/million
- FRAX® is underutilised compared to DXA: e.g. Denmark (319 FRAX® calculations /million) versus 67,000 BMD test /million per year



Treatment uptake and gap

How many European women at high fracture risk receive treatment?



Average treatment gap for the EU27+2 : 71% in 2019

> 14.8 million of 21 million women eligible for intervention are left untreated



Change in treatment gap since 2010

Average gap : 55% in 2010 vs 71% in 2019 → significant increase



The changes between 2010 – 2019 in treatment gap

in 18 countries



Societies such as the IOF address this global crisis

where 14.8 million European women requiring osteoporosis care are untreated



Waiting time for hip surgery

Early surgery (<48h) is associated with a significant reduction in mortality at 1 year and an increase in patient's quality of life



Average waiting time for surgical intervention for hip fracture in 2019

- Waiting times : from patients' admission to hospital to surgery
- More than 90% of hip fracture cases received surgery in most of the EU27+2 countries*

*Data acquired through an IOF questionnaire in 2020







Summary of Scorecard



Scorecard for Osteoporosis in Europe (SCOPE)

Key indicators for osteoporosis care with scores developed for the Burden of disease and Healthcare provision (Policy framework, Service provision, Service uptake)



Burden of disease

*The places of Luxembourg, Cyprus and Latvia are uncertain since there were gaps in the information base.

Kanis et al., Archives Osteoporos 2021

Higher score = Greater burden





Policy framework, Service provision and Service uptake

These can be considered aspects of healthcare delivery



* There was one or more missing metric which decreases the overall score.

Kanis et al., Archives Osteoporos 2021

The highest healthcare delivery did not necessarily match the burden of disease



SCOPE 2021 since the first SCOPE in 2010

15 of the 16 scorecard metrics on healthcare provision used in both surveys



* Luxembourg is not included because of the large amount of missing data.



SCOPE 2021 serves as a guide to the performance targets to support the delivery of the outcomes required





Scorecard for Osteoporosis in Europe 2021









Our vision is a world without fragility fractures, in which healthy mobility is a reality for all.

Join us



